REFERENCES

- 1. Mattern R. V., Bilous O., Piret E. L.: A.I.CH.E.J. 3, 437 (1957).
- 2. Rushton J. H.: A.I.CH.E. I. Chem. Eng. Joint Meeting, London, June 1965.
- 3. Badzioch S.: Brit, J. Appl. Phys. 10, 26 (1959).
- 4. Hinze J. O .: Turbulence. McGraw-Hill, New York 1959.
- 5. Vitols V.: Thesis. University of Michigan 1964.

Translated by the author (Z. N.)

DETERMINATION OF THE SEPARATION EFFECT IN A STIRRED VESSEL WITH A SUSPENSION BY A DYNAMIC METHOD

M.Řeháková and Z.Novosad

Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague - Suchdol

Received November 17th, 1969

In a previous paper¹ equations were derived for the value of the separation coefficient (ratio of the solid concentration in the outlet to the average solids concentration in the vessel) for the isokinetic withdrawal of a suspension from a stirred vessel. These are

$$p = [1 - 2X/D_{\rm e}(u_{\rm e}/u_{\rm i})^{1/2}]^2, \quad u_{\rm e}/u_{\rm i} > 1$$
(1)

where X is determined from

$$X/(u_{e}/u_{i})^{1/2} = (1/k) [(\varrho_{p} - \varrho_{k}) D_{e}/\varrho_{k}] \ln ([k\varrho_{k}/D_{e}(\varrho_{p} - \varrho_{k})] .$$

. { $(D_{e}/2) [(u_{e}/u_{i})^{1/2} - 1] + X[(u_{i}/u_{e})^{1/2} - 1]$ } (2)

and

$$\varphi = [1 + 2Y/D_{\rm e}(u_{\rm e}/u_{\rm i})^{1/2}]^2, \qquad (3)$$

where Y is determined from

$$\begin{split} Y/(u_e/u_i)^{1/2} &= (1/k) \left[(\varrho_p - \varrho_k) \, D_e/\varrho_k \right] \ln \left[(k \varrho_k / D_e(\varrho_p - \varrho_k) \right]. \\ &\cdot \left\{ (D_e/2) \left[1 - u_e/u_i \right]^{1/2} \right] + Y[(u_i/u_e)^{1/2} - 1] \right\} + 1 \right\}. \end{split}$$

The constant k in Eq. (2) and (4) depends only on the geometry of the system. The aim of this work was to verify the validity of relations (1)-(4) and to determine the value of the constant for various physical systems and a given geometry. The experiments were performed with only one geometry of the system. The independent variables were: stirrer speed, volumetric flow rate

Collection Czechoslov. Chem. Commun. /Vol. 36/ (1971)

3008

TABLE I Range of Values of Independent Variables

n rev min ⁻¹	<i>F</i> 1 min ⁻¹	d _p cm	g cm ⁻³	$cm s^{w_0} s^{-1}$	$g \operatorname{cm}^{\varrho_k}$
450-1300	0.54	0.018-0.09	1.018-2.665	0.5515.75	0.78-1

EXPERIMENTAL

Equipment and Method

A drawing of the vessel used is shown on Fig. 1. The vessel was stirred by a standard six-blade turbine and there were 4 standard baffles at the wall. The outlet was placed in the same height as the stirrer blades and in the middle between two baffles. The vessel was full of liquid right up to the top cover, thus eliminating vortex formation.



Fig. 1

Schematic Drawing of Vessel Used for Experiments





Measured Values of the Separation Coefficients vs Ratio u_e/u_i

1 \odot Polystyrene-water, 2 \circ plexiglasskerosene, 3 \circ sugar-kerosene, 4 \circ glass 0·16-0·2 mm-water, \circ glass 0·4-0·5 mmwater, \circ glass 0·8-1 mm-water. In order to eliminate the time required for obtaining steady state conditions and to use the minimum amount of classified solid particles, a dynamic method of measuring the separation coefficient at unsteady state conditions was chosen. A given weighed amount of solid material was at first introduced into the vessel. At time t = 0 the suspension began to be continuously withdrawn from the system and pure liquid was continuously introduced so that the volumetric contents of the vessel remained constant with time. This causes a continuous decrease of the concentration of solid particles inside the vessel. Very small concentrations of the solid particles were employed so that it could be assumed that the separation coefficient was independent of the concentration. The volumetric concentration of the solid particles at the beginning of the measurements varied from 0.18 to 0.25%. We used higher stirrer speeds than Rushton² and therefore also assumed complete homogenisation of the solid particles inside the vessel.

The mass balance of the solid material in the vessel is

$$0 = Fc_{e} + V \,\mathrm{d}c_{i}/\mathrm{d}t \,. \tag{5}$$

We assume that the volumetric flow rate of the suspension F is equal to the volumetric flow rate of the liquid. Since $\varphi = c_e/c_i$ by definition we obtain on substitution into Eq. (5)

$$\mathrm{d}c_{i}/c_{i} = -\left(1/\bar{t}\right)\varphi\,\mathrm{d}t\,.\tag{6}$$

Integrating Eq. (6) from t_1 to t_2 we obtain the final expression used for calculating the separation coefficient

$$\ln\left(c_{12}/c_{11}\right) = -\left(1/\tilde{t}\right)\varphi(t_2 - t_1). \tag{7}$$

The average concentration of the solid particles inside the vessel was determined by weighing the solid material which left the vessel from the beginning of the measurement and subtracting this from the original amount charged.

The density of the liquid and solid phase was determined by means of a pycnometer. For each solid particle fraction and liquid five measurements were made. The average values are presented in Table II.

TABLE II

Physical Properties of the Measured Systems

Curve	Solid	ℓ _p g/cm ³	d _p mm	Liquid	$\varrho_{\rm p}/\varrho_{\rm k}$	w ₀ cm/s
1	polystyrene	1.03	0.755	water	1.03	0.69
2	plexiglass	1.166	0.55	kerosene	1.491	1.86
3	sugar	1.586	0.602	kerosene	2.028	5-7
4	glass	2.655	0.18	water	2.655	1.98
4	glass	2.655	0.45	water	2.655	8
4	glass	2.655	0.9	water	2.655	15-75

NOTES

The diameter of the solid particles was calculated from the measured free-fall velocity. The particles were classified on sieves and polystyrene particles were separated according to their density by means of a concentrated NaCl solution. Two fractions of $1.015 - 1.025 \text{ g/cm}^3$ and 1.025-1.045 g/cm³ were obtained.

The stirrer speed was measured by a calibrated voltmeter and the calibration was made with a hand speedometer with an accuracy of $\pm 2\%$. The isokinetic velocity of the liquid inside the vessel in the vicinity of the outlet was calculated according to Rushton by the relation

$$u_i = Bnd/r$$
. (8)

For the constant B a value of 1.12 was chosen by interpolation from the values given by Rushton to correspond to our geometry.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The measured values of the separation coefficient vs. the ratio of velocities u_0/u_1 are shown in Fig. 2. From this figure it is evident that in agreement with Eqs (1) and (3) a diminishing value of the density difference causes a decrease of the effect of u_a/u_i on the separation coefficient and in the case of curve 1 the effect is negligible. In agreement with theory the particle diameter also has a small effect on the value of the separation coefficient because all values for the system glasswater for a wide range of particle diameters lie on the same curve. From the figure it is further apparent that for velocity ratios equal to one the measured values of the separation coefficient are not equal to unity. The straight line $\varphi = 1$ passes through the measured curves at different values of u_a/u_i . This indicates that it cannot be caused by a faulty determination of the isokinetic velocity. A possible explanation is that the solid particle concentration is not the same at all points inside the vessel and the calculated average concentration is not the same as the concentration in the vicinity of the outlet. In order to obtain the actual value of the separation coefficient the measured values have to be multiplied by a correction factor according to the relation

$$\varphi_{\rm s} = \varphi(c_{\rm i}/c_{\rm L}) \,. \tag{9}$$

For a velocity ratio equal to unity the correction factor c_i/c_i can be determined from the equation

$$\varphi_{(u_0/u_i=1)}(c_i/c_L) = 1$$
. (10)



FIG. 3



Because the local concentration $c_{\rm L}$ can be for the given geometry in general a function of the stirrer speed, suspension flow rate and physical properties of the system we determined how the value of $\varphi_{(u_i e_{11})}$ depended on these variables. On Fig. 3 is shown how the separation coefficient depends on the stirrer speed for a constant ratio $(u_e/u_i) = 1$ for the systems employed in our measurements. From the figure it is apparent that within accuracy of the data the separation coefficient can be considered as being constant. The actual values of the separation coefficient were then determined by the relation

$$\varphi_{\rm s} = \varphi/1.043 \ . \tag{11}$$

The value 1.043 is the arithmetic average of the values shown on Fig. 3 ($\sigma_r = 0.056$). The actual separation coefficients thus calculated were compared with the theoretical values determined from Eqs (1) and (3). By the method of least squares it was found that for all physical systems and for the system geometry used the best value of k was 123.5. The root mean square relative deviation between the calculated and measured values of the separation coefficient was 0.74% which proves the validity of Eqs (1) and (3) for very dilute suspensions.

LIST OF SYMBOLS

- B constant in Eq. (8)
- $c_{\rm e}$ concentration of the solid particles at the outlet of the vessel (ML⁻³)
- c_i average concentration of solids inside the vessel (ML⁻³)
- $c_{\rm L}$ local concentration of solids inside the vessel in the vicinity of the outlet (ML⁻³)
- $D_{\rm c}$ diameter of the outlet (L)
- d stirrer diameter (L)
- $d_{\rm p}$ diameter of the solid particles (L)
- \vec{F} volumetric flow rate of the suspension (L³ t⁻¹)
- k constant
- *n* stirrer speed (t^{-1})
- r radial distance of outlet from stirrer axis (L)
- t time (t)
- \overline{t} mean residence time of suspension (t)
- u_e linear velocity of the suspension in the outlet (Lt⁻¹)
- u_i linear velocity of the suspension inside the vessel in the vicinity of the outlet (Lt⁻¹)
- V vessel volume (L³)
- w_0 free-fall velocity (Lt⁻¹)
- X value defined by Eq. (1) (L)
- Y value defined by Eq. (3) (L)
- φ separation coefficient $c_{\rm e}/c_{\rm i}$
- φ_s corrected value of the actual separation coefficient
- σ_r root mean square relative deviation
- ρ_k liquid density (ML⁻³)
- ρ_p density of the solid particles (ML⁻³)

REFERENCES

- Řeháková M., Novosad Z.: This Journal, in press.
- 2. Rushton J. H.: A.I.CH.E. Chem. Eng. Joint Meeting, London, June 1965.

Translated by the author (Z. N.).

3012